

STATE OF NEW YORK
ONONDAGA COUNTY
TOWN OF CICERO

SS:

Deputy Supervisor Meyer called the Cicero Town Board Regular Meeting to order on Wednesday, June 10, 2015 at 6:30 p.m. at the Cicero Town Hall, 8236 Brewerton Road, Cicero, NY 13039.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer asked the Town Clerk to call the roll.

PRESENT:

Mark Venesky	Councilor
Dick Cushman	Councilor
C. Vernon Conway	Councilor
Mike Becallo	Councilor
William Meyer	Deputy Supervisor

OTHERS PRESENT:

Christopher Woznica	Highway
Joseph Snell	Police Chief
Jody Rogers	Director of Youth Bureau and Parks & Rec.
Robert Germain	Germain & Germain, Town Attorney
Stephen Snell	O'Brien & Gere, Town Engineer
Tracy M. Cosilmon	Town Clerk

ABSENT:

Jessica Zambrano	Town Supervisor
------------------	-----------------

Deputy Supervisor Meyer, who is not a voting member of the town board, presided over the meeting in Supervisor Zambrano's absence.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer noted the locations of the emergency exits in the event of an emergency, asked that all electronic devices be silenced and asked anyone who wished to address the board to utilize the microphone so that all residents could hear as well.

Councilor Conway led the Pledge of Allegiance and requested a moment of silence for our troops overseas.

Councilor Becallo: I just wanted to point out really fast, and jump in before the meeting started, the rest of the board that is present had a meeting again before the meeting, and it was: Councilor Venesky, Councilor Cushman, Councilor Conway, and then Deputy Supervisor Bill Meyer having a meeting; some sort of pre-meeting before the meeting. Again, we've been through this I don't know how many times, don't do it. I'm sure you guys weren't doing anything wrong, but again, please follow those rules.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer thanked Councilor Becallo for his comments.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Deborah Gardner said page 18 of the minutes, from May 27, 2015, correctly reflects Councilor Venesky's remarks when he spoke of a very moving Memorial Day Ceremony at the V.F.W. She asked if the Town Clerk would put a note in the minutes stating that the ceremony was actually held at the American Legion. She explained that each organization is very proud and though the confusion among some people not involved with these organizations may be understandable, there is an important distinction. All VFW members can belong to the American Legion, but not all American Legion members can belong to the VFW; it has something to do with combat battles.

Councilor Venesky thanked Deborah Gardner for her correction.

Councilor Venesky noted the correction that was made on page 1, *Approval of Minutes* where Supervisor Zambrano misspoke and said that the Annual Update Document was filed with the Department of Health (it now reads that the document is filed with the NYS Comptroller's Office).

Councilor Venesky moved to approve the minutes of the May 27, 2015 Regular Town Board meeting minutes as submitted with that correction. Motion was seconded by Councilor Cushman.

Ayes- 4 and Noes – 0. *Motion carried.*

APPROVAL OF ABSTRACT NUMBERS 22 & 23

Councilor Cushman moved to accept Abstract #22 (dated 6/3/15) and Abstract #23 (dated 6/8/15) as presented. *Please note: the actual date for Abstract #23 is 6/10/15 not 6/8/15.*

Motion was seconded by Councilor Venesky.

Councilor Becallo said on the website the same thing that happened at the last meeting 22 & 23 were both 22 on the webpage, so for June 10th it was supposed to be listed as Abstract #23, it was Abstract #22. In the future, he would like to know what was purchased, along with the name and price of what was being bought with credit cards.

Ayes- 3 and Noes – 1. *Motion carried. Councilor Becallo opposed.*

Abstracts can be viewed on the Town's website: www.ciceronewyork.net

BUDGET AMENDMENT AND MODIFICATIONS

Budget Amendment:

- Councilor Cushman moved to adopt a resolution for a budget amendment that authorizes the transfer of money, not to exceed \$148,000, from the DB Fund Balance to Highway Department budget line DB5132 to allow the purchase of four (4) trucks, ALL with snowplows from Delacy Ford, per state bid contract. The \$148,000 amount includes a trade-in value of \$32,000.00 for three existing Highway Department trucks. This multi-vehicle purchase includes the following:
 - A. **One (1)** 2016 Ford F250, 4-wheel regular cab to replace the current signs truck
 - B. **Two (2)** 2016 Ford F250s, pickups with extended cabs and short beds
 - C. **One (1)** 2016 Ford F450, 4-wheel drive chassis cab with dump body

Motion was seconded by Councilor Conway.

Councilor Becallo asked Chris Woznica to speak to the issue.

Chris Woznica stated that we did a Comprehensive Equipment Purchase Plan a couple of years ago, and this is part of the plan. Two of the trucks are 2009s with about 87,000 miles on them and we got a very good trade-in value on them; \$14,000 apiece. They contacted several other vendors in the area and Delacy had a state contract; we didn't receive any other bids from three (3) other vendors.

Councilor Becallo said the plan in the future is to keep getting that buy-back value.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer solicited any further discussion, of which there was none.

Ayes- 4 and Noes – 0. *Motion carried.*

Budget Modifications:

- Councilor Cushman moved to adopt a resolution to approve the budget modifications as noted on the report from the Town Comptroller’s Office, as previously posted:

TOWN BOARD MEETING				
06/10/2015				
<u>2015</u>				
<u>BUDGET MODIFICATIONS</u>				
FROM		\$		TO
DB513055	Hwy Machinery – Other	10000.00	DB513043	Hwy Hoses
DB511045	Hwy Typar/Pipe	5000.00	DB51424MB	Hwy Mailboxes
DB511051	Hwy Concrete Blocks	182.31	DB511046	Hwy Cold Patch
A142044	Attorney – Litigation	1000.00	A142042	Attorney – Town Code
B714043	Extended Daycamp-Supplies	58.96	B714040	Supples
DB514211	Hwy Winter OT	5000.00	DB511011	Hwy Summer OT
 <u>2015 BUDGET AMENDMENTS</u>				
Revenue			Appropriations	
\$148,000.00 – Hwy Equipment				
DB599 Hwy Appropriated Fund Balance		DB960 Appropriations		DB51302

Motion was seconded by Councilor Conway.

Ayes- 4 and Noes – 0. *Motion carried.*

PERSONNEL MATTERS

Councilor Venesky moved for the adoption of a resolution to appoint Peter M. Bardou, Recreation Supervisor, in the Parks & Recreation Department from Civil Service List #61027 with a salary of \$38,500 and a start date of June 17, 2015. This appointment fills the vacancy following the resignation of Julie LaFave. Motion was seconded by Councilor Conway.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer solicited any further discussion, of which there was none.

Ayes- 4 and Noes – 0. *Motion carried unanimously.*

PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER INSTALLATION OF STOP SIGN ON MATILDA GAGE

Deputy Supervisor Meyer had proof of publication and posting.

The public notice read as follows:

TOWN OF CICERO PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Town of Cicero Town Board will hold a Public Hearing for June 10, 2015 at 6:30 p.m., at the Cicero Town Hall, 8236 Brewerton Road, Cicero, New York to consider installing a Stop Sign on Matilda Gage at the northwest corner where it intersects with Asa Eastwood. By the order of the Cicero Town Board.

DATED: May 31, 2015

**TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF CICERO,
COUNTY OF ONONDAGA, NEW YORK
BY: TOWN CLERK**

Public Hearing was opened at 6:44 p.m.

Speaking for –

A resident stated he lives in the middle of the street and since he's lived there his kids have grown. The street is used as a runway; it's mainly the people in the back part of the development that have an urgency leaving in the morning, and have an urgency getting home at night. He spoke with Joe [Snell] earlier, and one of the suggestions he made, which he thinks would probably more conducive to an actual stop sign would be to set some patrols in there, or unmarked cars, issue some warnings, and if it continues then come back and start writing tickets. You go down certain streets in other communities, on certain roads, and if it says 30 then you do 30. Even though it's not a posted speed limit 30 miles an hour on any residential street, he doesn't care whatever street it is in the town of Cicero, or whatever town it may be, is way too fast; there's a lot of kids on the street and there's been a lot of close calls. He said something needs to be done, that it's unbelievable if he sits on his front porch and watches them [the vehicles] in the morning and watches them in the evening. If the stop sign would work then he is all for it. He thinks if we tried other measures that would help as well, and that might even be more conducive than an actual physical stop sign.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer said Chief Snell had commented that some of the residents were inquiring about an additional stop sign (besides the one proposed), and that this notice was just for one stop sign, so if there is going to be any consideration the resident would have to just go through the regular steps they did and then the Town could do the proper notice.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer asked if anyone wanted to speak in opposition.

Speaking against –

Edward Thayer, Sr. said he lives right on that corner and the Asa Eastwood stop sign – they treat it like it's a 30 mile per hour stone. They don't even slow down for it and in the morning the school buses don't stop when they are coming down Asa Eastwood and turning onto Matilda Gage, so another stop sign isn't going to help. In the morning, and at night, the cars run up and down Matilda Gage, they are on the wrong side of the curb because they are going so fast. He said what we need is patrols down there to start giving tickets. Another stop sign is not going to make a difference; it will slow them down to 40 miles an hour maybe, but that's about it. He has watched it for nine years now and that stop sign doesn't do any good - they've got to make them slow down.

Another resident said he wasn't sure if the stop sign was the solution, but thinks it is a step forward. As far as the Chief monitoring that area, there are kids signs and the people in that area have small kids and he had one situation where a lady asked him to move the signs because they were in her way and it slowed her down; that's the message right there. It's gotten to the point where we actually yell and tell the people to slow down. Now there's the fact that there are some people when they get in our area they speed up for that reason of *I'll fix you*; it's on purpose, and it's really scary. He said he has grandkids and he is a little concerned with their livelihood of our kids, particularly. He told the Board that it is not getting any better, we need to monitor that as quick as we can, and the sooner the better.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer solicited any further comments.

Scott Gillispie said he lives on Matilda Gage right where the stop signs would be (right next door to Ed Thayer). He said he has mixed feelings about this. He is not enamored with personally having to pay the price of stopping and starting (just pulling down the street and stopping right away), and his concerns are that that's not a very long run, they stop, they are going to speed up again anyways, and it's probably going to be the same people that are rolling through the stop signs speeding anyways. He agreed with what everybody said essentially, he prefers to monitor it and give warnings and tickets. He said he guessed that we could always go back and do the stop signs as a last resort but he still thinks people ought to get tickets; maybe they should get a warning, and get tickets because he has a feeling that it's the same people doing it all the time. He said when he goes through North Syracuse he doesn't go above 30 or 35 because he knows darn well that eventually he is going to get a ticket. He said he didn't know that that's a good spot to monitor people, but if they could do that he would like to try that first.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer asked Scott Gillispie if he would like to add a stop sign.

Scott Gillispie said no, not right away.

Someone said he didn't live in the neighborhood, but just listening to Mr. Gillispie, these gentlemen live at the bottle-neck so they see all of them, and it sounds to him like these people go

through what would be a stop sign and continue to their homes in the same fashion, so they might protect the local kids in that block with a stop sign but unless we enforce it through the neighborhood the other kids in the neighborhood are still at risk. He would support the stop sign, but definitely more patrols in that area because it sounds like that whole neighborhood needs to be policed a little bit.

Deborah Gardner said she doesn't live in the neighborhood, so she is not for or against. She said she may have misunderstood in the past, she always thought that Chief Snell had said that stop signs were not there to slow people down, she doesn't know maybe she got that wrong, but if indeed what he said is correct than we shouldn't have stop signs. She said it's not her neighborhood; it's up to them to make the decisions.

Tom Beaulieu said he has been an advocate for stop signs in certain locations in the town in the past. He wanted to speak on this because he is up in that neighborhood quite a bit. He said the Chief knows he could have twice as big a department and he could have people all over the place monitoring speeds on some of the roads at some of the stop signs. We have a certain amount of 'I don't care' lawlessness that goes on more prominently today. He doesn't know if that stop sign being located there, there has been good opinion, but he thinks it would violate (as Deborah brought up) the New York State Manual for Uniform Traffic Control Devices that indicates specifically that stop signs are not to be used for speed control devices, and in this case you probably got about 100 feet when you come into the neighborhood where you'd hit a stop sign - if they don't stop for the one on Asa Eastwood then why would they stop for the one on Matilda Gage.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer solicited any further input, of which there was none.

The Public Hearing was closed at 6:54 p.m.

Chief Snell explained that this process started when he received a call from Councilor Venesky regarding a resident who had some issues with that intersection requesting a stop sign. He spoke with that resident and instructed her that we need to get petitions from the residents. He thinks we ended up with at least more than fifty-percent of the residents on that street for that specific stop sign at the northwest corner. He said Deborah Gardner is a hundred percent correct, that stop signs are not to slow traffic down. The reason he supported that stop sign is because as you come off of South Bay he was witnessing traffic starting to pick up a little bit and there's a little curb right there, you really can't see all the way down at the end, you don't have a lot of visibility for the whole Matilda Gage so his recommendation was based on the intersection and visibility; that would slow traffic down. They'd be able to see what's around that curve as they are coming off of South Bay Road; the other one going from the other side he could not support because it was intended to reduce speed and it's not what they're for; they are controlled intersections and he didn't think having one more that way, 300 feet from South Bay Road is practical at that point.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer solicited any further comments from the Board.

Councilor Venesky said the Chief was absolutely right. He was approached by a resident who is very concerned about her children and asked if we could check into a stop sign. He referred her to Chief Snell for a study, and he thinks she got over twenty signatures.

Councilor Venesky asked Chief Snell if he feels a stop sign does alert people. Chief Snell replied that it would slow traffic down. He said that he hates to say that term, but it should stop people so that the intersection will have greater visibility around that curb (as you pick up and come around that curb).

Councilor Venesky asked Chief Snell what his recommendation would be now based on his professional opinion. Should they put that stop sign in or not?

Chief Snell said he is hearing what the residents are saying right now. He thinks we can try if we wanted to and then we could do a public hearing later; we can try enforcement and see if it works. If it's not successful, they all have his phone number and they can call him and we can resurrect the intersection.

Councilor Venesky asked the Board members what they thought.

Councilor Becallo said he would like to go with that because in his neighborhood on Diffin Road a citizen called about traffic blowing through that stop sign, then there was strong enforcement for a couple weeks, and then of course he got slack from it, but it slowed people down. They took notice. And they stopped. Then the enforcement stopped for a couple of weeks and then we had

enforcement again yesterday. He said he doesn't know what happened but the car was there and there are other neighbors that appreciate the fact. They have children on Diffin Road. Diffin

Road is a highway. People use to bike that, and the enforcement he thinks worked. He knows we don't have endless amounts of police to be patrolling constantly but showing the cars driving through the neighborhood that their helps a lot; it slows people down. He said the funny part is that the majority of the people that did get tickets are the people that actually lived on the street.

Chief Snell said we try to utilize the soft approach first. For the first week we issue out warnings. The second week we go back and we start issuing out tickets.

Councilor Venesky asked the Chief how much he wanted to put this off.

Chief Snell answered at least 30/35 days.

Councilor Venesky suggested they put this off a couple meetings.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer asked Robert Germain what's the proper way for the Board to have this come up 30 days from today, procedurally.

Robert Germain replied that if we are going to expand it to a three-way then we should re-advertise it anyway. So when they are ready the Board can tell us. We'll put an agenda item on advertising for a public hearing and making it a three-way; they couldn't have approved a three-way that evening anyways.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer asked the board members if that would be okay.

Councilor Cushman asked anybody that spoke about the resolution, is there a specific time frame? Is this all day long? Or is this primarily first thing in the morning?

A resident said it was mainly between 7:15a.m and maybe a little after 8:00a.m., and then between 4:00 and 5:00 p.m. He said some of those people are up and down the street twenty times a day and they're habitual. *Someone in the audience commented that for those people their 30 miles an hour are 50 and 60 m.p.h.*

Deputy Supervisor Meyer said if none of the Board members made a motion then basically they would move onto the next item on the agenda. Then Chief Snell gets a chance to do the enforcement component, and then if the residents would like a new public hearing you can address it then.

Councilor Venesky suggested they table the issue to the 22nd of July.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer said that would be great and told the residents he looked forward to their input the next time around.

WALLINGTON MEADOWS

Councilor Conway moved the adoption of a resolution to reduce securities currently on deposit with the Town by the Developer (LOK Development, LLC) for Wallington Meadows Section 7B in accordance with a letter from O'Brien & Gere dated June 1, 2015. The total release amount is \$24,400.00 which covers installation of the asphalt top course for 2,525 feet of road and concrete gutter repairs that have been completed to the satisfaction of the Highway Department. Separate guarantee securities for the road would still be held by the Town. Motion was seconded by Councilor Cushman.

Public Input:

Tom Beaulieu asked Chris Woznica if that Section B, if that's the Rinaldi that still has to be completed has to have top course on it yet.

Chris Woznica said right.

Tom Beaulieu asked Chris if he was releasing the other section that was previously done.

Chris Woznica said there is a short section in there of about 800 feet that was under Combat Construction.

Tom Beaulieu asked if we were holding back \$24,000 for 800 feet, including gutters.

Chris Woznica replied no. Combat Construction was a business so to his knowledge there were no securities at all for that one small section; but for everything else they did test holes.

Tom Beaulieu said so that's going to get done at some point as far as...he knows that securities are still being held. He said his other question was going to piggy back on this (he brought this up in 2012), where we talked about the new road specs that we put into place. We talked about also having some design criteria to make sure that were safe checked to make sure those specs are met. We've got a couple of roads in this town and one goes back to 2008, which is Marina Drive. It's still being developed and has never had the top course put on it, that is sitting there with 2 ½ inches of binder course. When that thing starts to fail, and we are talking 7 years now; our specs say that we should be looking to do that top course within 3 years without excuses or exceptions to protect the interests of the taxpayers. He said he checked and Highway looked at it in 2008, which was the last time it was inspected (he may have been out there since then but nothing's been done), and nobody has forced the fact *let's get the top course on it*. He thinks there is a new developer in there that maybe the original one bellied-up, but regardless, the taxpayers' interest has to be protected first and foremost.

Tom Beaulieu said the same thing was true for Legionnaire. That if anybody has driven over there you would have thought landmines were placed there at one point because it's a total disaster; that had never been topped because the developer went belly-up when they put that road in and there was no securities to cover the cost of putting a top course in.

Tom Beaulieu commented that these are two roads that need to be looked at that need to have remedial action done on them; the sooner the better.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer asked the Town Clerk who had seconded the motion.

Tracy Cosilmon replied Councilor Cushman.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer solicited any further discussion

Councilor Becallo asked Chris Woznica if he was okay with all of it.

Chris Woznica replied yes.

Ayes- 4 and Noes – 0. *Motion carried.*

COLD WAR VETERANS REAL PROPERTY TAX EXEMPTION

Councilor Venesky said in the Town of Cicero we honor the men and the women who have served their country. We, as our other towns in the State of New York, have asked and are asking by resolution that the New York State Assembly extend or amend Section 458-b of the Real Property Tax law to provide local government with the option of extending a life-time partial exemption from real property taxes rather than the current ten year limit. He doesn't know how we are going to do that or what the Assembly and the State are going to do, but we are joining other towns, and other municipalities, and asking them to do that.

Councilor Venesky asked Robert Germain if he should read the entire resolution.

Robert Germain reviewed it and said it was okay.

Councilor Venesky read the following resolution:

WHEREAS, the Town of Cicero wants to recognize and show appreciation to Veterans for their service and believes that it is good public policy to encourage them stay in their homes; and

WHEREAS, the current Cold War Real Property Tax exemption limits a qualified Veteran to claim the exemption for a maximum of ten (10) years; and

WHEREAS, the NYS Senate and Assembly previously considered a bill that would have changed the current exemption that has a ten (10) year limit to one with a life-time exemption, like other Veteran exemptions, and would have required local government approval; and

WHEREAS, on April 2, 2013, the Onondaga County Legislature passed a resolution endorsing the bill; and

WHEREAS, the bill passed the NYS Senate but not the NYS Assembly; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, the Town of Cicero requests the NYS Senate and Assembly to amend Section 458-b of the Real Property Tax Law to provide local government with the option of extending a life-time partial exemption from real property taxes, rather than the current ten (10) year limit; and, be it further

RESOLVED, the Town Clerk is directed to send a copy of this resolution to the various legislators representing the Town of Cicero at the state level.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer corrected Councilor Venesky by stating it was Section 458-b (not 4S8-b).

The correction was noted.

Motion was seconded by Councilor Becallo.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer solicited any discussion, of which there was none.

Ayes- 4 and Noes – 0. *Motion carried.*

NATIONAL GUARD MEMBERS REAL PROPERTY TAX EXEMPTION

Councilor Cushman said we have another group of Veterans that need to be recognized and read the following resolution:

**MEMORIALIZING THE NEW YORK STATE LEGISLATURE TO ENACT A REAL
PROPERTY TAX EXEMPTION FOR CERTAIN NATIONAL GUARD MEMBERS AND
RESERVISTS AT THE OPTION OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS**

WHEREAS, Reserve and National Guard units serve a critical role in performing national and international missions; and

WHEREAS, the Reserve and Guard units also provide critical safety net services to local governments as well as front line services helping New Yorkers in times of need such as the major storms Sandy and Irene as well as the recent winter storm emergency that hit the Buffalo New York area; and

WHEREAS, the New York State Senate previously passed a bill, that did not pass in the Assembly, that would grant a five percent (5%) real property tax exemption to Reserve and National Guard personnel that completed twenty (20) years of service, and cannot be used in combination with any other veterans exemption; and

WHEREAS, the Onondaga County Legislature passed a memorializing resolution on March 5, 2013 requesting that the State of New York change the Real Property Tax law to allow local governments the option to grant such an exemption to recognize Reservist and National Guard members for their service and to potentially serve as a recruiting tool; now therefore,
be it

RESOLVED , that the Town of Cicero requests that the State of New York change the Real Property Tax Law to allow local governments the option to grant Reserve and National Guard members, who have twenty years of service, a five percent (5%) exemption, and, be it further

RESOLVED, that the Town Clerk send a copy of this resolution to the members of the New York State Senate and Assembly that represent the Town of Cicero .

Motion was seconded by Councilor Becallo.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer solicited any Board discussion, of which there was none.

Ayes- 4 and Noes – 0. *Motion carried.*

CLERK'S OFFICE

Councilor Conway moved the adoption of a resolution to approve the Fireworks permit from American Fireworks Display to be held at Lakeshore Yacht & Country Club to be held on July 3, 2015 from 9:30 - 10:00 p.m., at a permit fee cost of \$75.00 and for the Supervisor to authorize.

Motion was seconded by Councilor Becallo.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer solicited any discussion.

Tracy Cosilmon asked if they could have Deputy Supervisor to authorize the permit in the motion. She also stated she was in receipt of the \$75.00 permit fee.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer said without objection the motion is modified as requested by the Clerk.

Modified resolution:

Councilor Conway moved the adoption of a resolution to approve the Fireworks permit from American Fireworks Display to be held at Lakeshore Yacht & Country Club to be held on July 3, 2015 from 9:30 - 10:00 p.m., at a permit fee cost of \$75.00 and for the Deputy Supervisor to authorize.

Ayes- 4 and Noes – 0. *Motion carried.*

HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT

Councilor Conway moved the adoption of a resolution to approve the following purchases:

- \$4,000.00 to Franklin Paint for camera, tips, wiring harness for road striper
- \$6,000.00 to Frank Murken Products for assorted hydraulic hose-reels, and assorted hose fittings
- \$3,368.75 to Frank Murken Products for 1”x 500’ sewer hose

Motion was seconded by Councilor Becallo.

Ayes- 4 and Noes – 0. *Motion carried.*

Deputy Supervisor Meyer solicited any discussion, of which there was none.

PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT

Councilor Cushman moved the adoption of a resolution to approve \$1200.00 to Lumberjack Tree to remove and grind stump of dead spruce tree at Riverside Cemetery (safety hazard). Motion was seconded by Councilor Venesky.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer said he understands from Jody that this is an item that needs to be done for safety reasons, but also because of the graves in the area; it needs extra attention to get the disposal.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer solicited any discussion, of which there was none.

Ayes- 4 and Noes – 0. *Motion carried.*

TAX RECEIVER

Councilor Venesky moved the adoption of a resolution to declare the Hewlett Packard 1200 Printer in the Tax Receiver’s Office as surplus and of no use to the Town as it is not repairable. Motion was seconded by Councilor Becallo.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer solicited any discussion, of which there was none.

Ayes- 4 and Noes – 0. *Motion carried.*

2015 TOWN OF CICERO FEE SCHEDULE

Councilor Conway moved the adoption of a resolution amending portions of the Town of Cicero Fee Schedules instituting the changes contained and itemized on “Exhibit A,” attached herein. The Town engaged in a comprehensive study of fees charged for various permitting and town functions, and made recommendations for changes to keep the Fee Schedule in line with actual costs to the Town. Passage of this resolution also authorizes and requests the Town Clerk to supply a copy of “Exhibit A” to all of the effected Town Departments for immediate use and compliance (as presented on the following three pages):

Exhibit A:
Page 1 of 4

Miscellaneous Fees

Block Party Permit	\$25.00
Fireworks Permit	\$100.00
Junk Dealer's Permit	\$25.00
Junk Yard License Permit	\$100
Trash Hauler's License	\$200.00
Pawn Shops -Collateral Loan Broker	\$250.00
Tree/Brush Removal License	\$50.00

Hawkers/Peddlers/Solicitors/Transient Merchants

Calendar year license	\$250.00
Renewal of 30 day license	Eliminate
Individual Criminal Background Check	\$20.00

Food Vendors

30 day License	\$150.00
180 day License \$200.00	\$300.00
Renewal of 30 day License	Eliminate
Individual Criminal Background Check	\$20.00

Exhibit A:
Page 2 of 4

Planning Fees (Subdivisions)

Administrative Subdivision **Eliminate Classification**

Simple Subdivision **Filing fee \$100.00**

Preliminary Plan Reapproval **Filing fee \$100.00**

Minor Subdivision Preliminary & Final Plan **Filing fee \$100.00**

Major Subdivision Preliminary Plan **Filing fee \$500.00**

<75 Lots or 20 Acres Commercial

Major Subdivision Preliminary Plan **Filing fee \$500.00**

75-150 Lots or 20-50 Acres Commercial

Major Subdivision Preliminary Plan More **Filing fee \$500.00**

Than 150 Lots or Greater Than 50 Acres Commercial

Major Subdivision Final Plan < 75 Lots or 15 Acres Commercial **Filing fee \$500.00**

Major Subdivision Final Plan 75-150 Lots or 15-50 Acres Commercial **Filing fee \$500.00**

Major Subdivision Final Plan More Than

150 Lots or Greater Than 50 Acres Commercial **Filing fee \$500.00**

Administrative Site Plan **Eliminating Classification**

Simple Site Plan **Eliminating Classification**

Minor Site Plan **Filing Fee \$150.00** **Legal Fee \$400.00** **Eng. Deposit**

\$1300.00 (Add'l \$1000.00 for SWPPP if Required) OK

Medium Site Plan **Filing fee - \$400-Legal fee - \$1,000, Engineering Deposit - \$3,000**

Exhibit A:
Page 3 of 4

Major Site Plan	Eng. Deposit \$7,500-\$11,000 (Add'l \$1000.00 for SWPPP if Required) Filing fee - \$500-
Amended Site Plan	Filing Fee \$500.00
Cut & Fill Permit	\$50.00 (By resolution of the Planning Board fees for Legal and Engineering can be required per the approved Site Plan fee schedule).
Building Permit – Commercial	\$75.00 + \$5.00/\$1,000.00
Temporary/Inflatable Pool	\$35.00 (No Grading Allowed)
Fence Permit	\$50.00
Wood Fireplace, Gas Fireplace, Heaters, Stove Inserts, Generators	\$150.00
Flood Plain Development Permit	\$50.00 + \$500.00 Engineer Fee Deposit
Work Started without Permit	Double Permit Fee
Rescind Stop Work Order	\$100.00
Re-Inspection (Work not ready for inspection/2nd Re-Inspection)	\$125.00
Permit Renewal	25% of Original Permit Fee - Minimum \$35.00
Temporary Certificate of Occupancy	\$15.00
Certificate of Occupancy	Residential \$35.00/Unit Commercial \$100.00/Unit
Certificate of Compliance	\$35.00
Zoning Compliance Letter	\$25.00
Sign Permit	\$35.00+\$3.00/sq.ft.
Electronic Message Board	\$100.00 OK
Fire Inspection Fees	Current Proposed Increase

Exhibit A:
Page 4 of 4

Fire Inspection/Operating Permit Annually	\$75.00<5000 sq.ft/
\$100.00 5001 sq.ft to 20,000 sq.ft/	\$125.00>20,000 sq.ft
Placard Fees for Fire Related, Abandoned or Unsafe Structures	\$35.00
Removal of Placard and New Placard	\$75 00
Sprinkler Permit/Test	\$250.00
Zoning Fees	
Area Variance	Residential \$250.00 - Commercial \$400.00
Use Variance	\$500.00
Sign Variances	\$400.00
Variance After Work Has Begun	Double Application Fee

Motion was seconded by Councilor Venesky.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer solicited any discussion from the Board.

Councilor Becallo said he is opposed to any increases of fees. They should really consider taking off the fee for block parties, it sends the wrong message. This Board increased taxes, not him, and now is looking to increase a bunch of fees with a significant increase; including having our community pay to enjoy comradery with friends and neighbors. Look at the message it sends, another words it's a "tax on fun". Likewise, with the increased permit on swimming pools, which comes out to about \$850. Just going through the list he mentioned the fire inspection, the sprinkler permits. He suggested to the Board that they really look at this again. The Pawn Shops went from nothing to \$250.

Councilor Becallo said his big thing is with the block parties, they should really think twice about it. He said in another city, Los Altos community, the City Council eliminated block party permit fees at their June 25th, 2013 meeting. The council came to its decision after reviewing revenue generated from the block party fees 2012 fiscal year at a cost of a whopping \$105 per permit. A total of \$2400 was collected in 2012, so the Council felt that the \$2400 cost to the city was worth subsidizing in order to promote building community and encourage residents to take advantage of

the opportunity to host block parties in their neighborhoods. It creates comradery with the neighborhood, so is Cicero really becoming a no fun town?

Councilor Venesky said we had a public workshop on fees in the Town of Cicero, and unfortunately the Board met, and he believes Councilor Becallo was unable to attend that workshop but the rest of them were there and they discussed this. Actually, the \$25 Block Party permit fee came up. Initially, he was against it but talking with our Town Clerk and kicking it around, we still have to dispatch somebody; other towns have that fee as well. In our instance one of Chris' people or someone from Parks & Rec. has to go and put up the signs and put up the cones and then has to go back and retrieve them. So all we are looking to do is cover our costs on that not prohibit anyone from having a block party.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer solicited any further discussion from the Board, of which there was none.

Ayes- 3 and Noes – 1. *Motion carried. Councilor Becallo voting no stating he is opposed to their tax on fun, as well as your raise in fees and you raised taxes at the same time, and the fees.*

DRAINAGE MANAGEMENT

Councilor Venesky moved the adoption of a resolution to enter into an agreement with Northeast Beaver & Predator Management (Gary Suter), Bernhards Bay, NY, for drainage management, at a rate of \$50.00 per beaver and \$50.00 per beaver dam, not to exceed \$2,000.00, and to authorize the Deputy Supervisor to execute this agreement, effective June 11, 2015 through December 31, 2015. Motion was seconded by Councilor Cushman.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer solicited any discussion, of which there was none.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer explained that you have to go through a rather detailed permitting with the DEC (Department of Environmental Conservation); you can't just be somebody who goes out and does trapping or things like that, you have to have a certified person to do this.

Ayes- 4 and Noes – 0. *Motion carried.*

PUBLIC HEARING REQUESTS

Councilor Conway moved the adoption of a resolution setting a Public Hearing for June 24, 2015 commencing at 6:30 p.m. local time to consider the request of for a formal abandonment of the Town's right to dedication to a once proposed undeveloped paper road described as Harding Place.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer said with Councilor Conway's permission he was going to change the Public Hearing to July 22, 2015; we were notified by council that the property owner could not make the June meeting so they requested to have it July 22nd. He said if there was no objection the motion was modified.

Modified motion:

Councilor Conway moved the adoption of a resolution setting a Public Hearing for July 22, 2015 commencing at 6:30 p.m. local time to consider the request for a formal abandonment of the Town's right to dedication to a once proposed undeveloped paper road described as Harding Place.

Motion was seconded by Councilor Cushman.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer solicited any discussion, of which there was none.

Ayes- 4 and Noes – 0. *Motion carried.*

BREWERTON REVITALIZATION PROJECT

Councilor Venesky said we had asked at the last meeting that we table any monies being spent or approved by the Board until we had a couple of weeks to look at some different funding opportunities and look at some cost issues with the project. We did that. There has been a lot going on behind the scenes and there could be some additional monies coming to the town from an outside source; we will not know until the end of this month. We decided we had to do something, and wanted to do something, to keep the project moving forward at a cost that we thought was reasonable at this point for the town to move forward. For Phase III of the project, this money is for the design and construction documents for streetscape improvements within the hamlet of Brewerton, which will continue the Brewerton Revitalization project. Improvements will include development concept and construction plans for Bennett Street and the completion and submission of the 2015 CFA Grant application. Improvements would include the installation of walkway, curbing for a portion of Bennett Street, trees, landscaping and lighting.

Councilor Venesky moved for the adoption of a resolution to appropriate \$20,000.00 from General Fund A fund balance for Saratoga Associates to execute the engineering and construction documents associated with the Local Waterfront Revitalization Project. In addition, we'll appropriate \$3500.00 for Saratoga Associates for the preparation of a CFA (Consolidated Funding Application) grant and the submittal of that as well. It is anticipated that the CFA application will seek New York State Department of State Local Waterfront Revitalization Plan funds for the continued improvements to Bennett Street. Now, we further anticipate appropriating an additional \$21,500 from Fund A for a total of \$45,000 or less to match the Town's portion of the Local Waterfront Revitalization Project III Grant.

Motion was seconded by Councilor Conway.

Public Input:

Judy Boyke asked what CFA was.

Councilor Venesky replied Consolidated Funding Application.

Judy Boyke asked if they could clarify this grant. Is this going towards what they are anticipating now? And, has that been approved to be able to be applied to this project?

Councilor Venesky replied we are looking for an additional grant; there could be additional grant money available and we are going to go ahead and apply for it.

Judy Boyke said weren't we looking for \$125,000 for the last part two weeks ago?

Councilor Venesky said no. We were looking at \$90,000.

Judy Boyke said so we are \$50,000 short if you're talking \$40,000 now, plus you're looking at \$3,500 to go towards Saratoga.

Councilor Venesky said we are looking at a total of \$45,000; half of what the Supervisor had asked us to approve.

Judy Boyke said and this is just for?

Councilor Venesky said this is for exactly what we stated this was for.

Judy Boyke said right, that we are looking for design and construction plans for Bennett Street.

Councilor Venesky replied that is correct.

Judy Boyke asked if that's it.

Councilor Venesky replied and also the completion of the grant. That satisfies the requirement of the current grant to keep the project active.

Deborah Gardner sent the email below to all the Town Board members regarding the Brewerton Revitalization and the \$90,000. She said she only heard from two of the members that said they had received it and she wanted to reiterate four of those points concerning the grant:

Good afternoon

After thinking about the \$90,750, I have come up with several points.

1. The interest that this money is generating is a fraction of 1%. So while this money sits in an account, it is making approximately \$550 per year.
2. For every dollar of this money spent this year, the town gets back \$1 to spend on this project. That is equivalent to an interest rate of 100%!
3. Former town boards made a commitment to this project. Going forward future town boards should honor those commitments, as they will want their commitments honor.
4. Let us assume that the Brewerton racetrack will be built. This town wants to capitalize on that as discussed at past town board meetings. One way to attract businesses to the Route 11 corridor will be to continue revitalizing Brewerton. When a business sees that the town is committed to improving Brewerton, that owner will be more inclined to develop in Brewerton. I think that we should want any development to go all the way to the town border.

Deborah

Deborah Gardner told the Board members she thinks that sometimes they are “penny-wise” and “pound-foolish”.

Tom Beaulieu commented on the comments Deborah Gardner had made. He said we have seen so many times across this, or any other country, where governments go in and pour millions of dollars into projects, that doesn't promote individuals or companies to go in particularly and spend money because if there's no profit to be made, or there's no bottom line for those people or stockholders, they're not going to invest the money, but this is a different situation. He is always leery, going back to fifteen years and seeing some of the projects that have been touted by the various town boards, but the devil is always in the details and he is always concerned when government gets involved in spending our money - it's a road paved with good intentions. We can go back and look at the Cicero Commons Project, we had a lot of hope for that, and we got stuck with a \$250,000 bond payment and it ruined our credit for years. This project, he has never been an advocate of it, but we've got \$975,000 invested in it (Councilor Venesky commented that we have about \$1 million dollars into it now) and we still haven't acquired the two properties that we probably have appraisals for and actually completed. We cannot allow that money to have been wasted in the name of the taxpayers. He asked this Town Board to support it and continue to move forward with the revitalization and the plans for this new park.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer commented that what Deborah said was true. There is a return of 100 percent. The only problem is that the money we are talking about spending comes out of the reserve fund; the matching funds from the grant that come back to the town are spent on the project, not replaced into the reserve fund, so once that money is gone it's gone. We have, through the application for and the possibility of additional grants, covered a good portion of that that we would have had to take out of the reserve fund to spend on this project so we are down spending approximately twenty-three thousand dollars and change instead of what he believes was \$90,750, and we're still accomplishing that goal and continuing. We will continue Phase III. We will complete the project in Brewerton. And, we will do it at the lowest cost to the town and to the taxpayers in Cicero. So we are trying to be prudent in the way we are approaching this and although it may not be easily understood by some, as an accountant with 45 years of experience he can tell you that this board has been very prudent in the way that they have approached this.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer said I think we are trying to watch out and yes, we are “penny-pinchers”.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer asked if Councilor Venesky had made the motion and Councilor Venesky replied that he had.

Motion was seconded by Councilor Conway.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer solicited any further discussion, of which there was none.

Ayes-4 and Noes – 0 *Motion carried.*

NEW HIGHWAY GARAGE

- Councilor Conway moved the adoption of a resolution to schedule a Town Board workshop on June 17th, 2015 at 5:00 p.m. to discuss the status of the Highway garage project, and authorize the Town Clerk to publish the notification, and the meeting will be at the Town Hall.

Motion was seconded by Councilor Venesky.

Ayes- 4 and Noes – 0. *Motion carried.*

- Update by the Highway Garage Advisory Group, presented by Don Snyder

Don Snyder said we received three quotes for a solution to the roof problem on the Police building and are meeting with the final contractor tomorrow to get another look. It sounds like a simple job, but trying to put a roof on a trailer is not as simple as putting one on a house, and we obviously do not want to hear from the Chief next fall when he calls us and says *it is still raining* in his investigator's office so we are really trying to be prudent and make sure that the Chief is smiling next year, rather than trying to shoot he and Don for a roof job that didn't seem to work.

In regards to the Highway garage, Don Snyder said they approved the RFQ (Request for Qualifications) to go out and ask design firms that might be interested in looking at that project; we had eleven candidates come who were all very good. We reviewed all their documentation and the committee moved that down to five. We had five personal interviews; out of the five personal interviews we whittled that down to two. We have given those two firms a list of things we felt that the Town Board needed to have in front of them so they could then decide whether one of those two firms would in fact meet your needs in working forward on this project. That information is supposed to be in our hands on Monday, therefore, I thank you very much for the meeting on 17th [June] because we should be able to then share that information, get your thoughts, get our thoughts. We are going to basically remind each one of us how we got to where we are, and if you all remember we started with a roof on a building that was going to cost over \$200,000 for a building that was fifty-years old and probably didn't deserve a \$200,000 roof, that's why we are where we are today. He thanked the Board for the meeting they were going to have next week. He said they would try to have all the information available for them to peruse.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer asked if he would entertain some questions.

Don Snyder said sure.

Councilor Venesky asked Don Snyder if he was going to have the repair estimates for us, for the police roof.

Don Snyder replied we have three, and are meeting with the last one tomorrow. He would guess that they should have all four estimates and they can talk about four solutions because he and Don Bloss don't pretend to be roof experts, but they are getting the roof experts making the quotes; they will look at each one of those; obviously they will have a recommendation, but they will use the board's expertise too when they look at that. So yes, they should have that information for next week which means that they could approve that at the next board meeting.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer solicited any further questions, of which there were none.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

- **Amending the definition of "restaurant" in the Town Code §210-4:**
"An establishment primarily engaged in the sale of food and beverages, including alcoholic beverages, for on-premises consumption with patrons seated inside the building".

Councilor Venesky said we ran into a problem this year with one of the business owners within the town, specifically a business owner in the hamlet of Brewerton. This particular owner who has paid the proper fees and has got the proper Department of Health permits had asked last year, or the year before to be able to put a temporary ice cream stand up outside of his business, and the way the Town Code reads now RESTAURANT is *An establishment primarily engaged in the sale of food and beverages, including alcoholic beverages, for on-premises consumption with patrons seated inside the building. This does include those establishments whose primary business is of a takeout nature.* The way this code is written it forces that business owner to have to purchase another permit because the ice cream is not being consumed inside of the main place.

Councilor Venesky said he thinks that the spirit of what we are trying to do in supporting our local business and taxpayers, and people who provide jobs, is to help them where we can, therefore, he would recommend to the Board that we **change** the definition of “**RESTAURANT**” in the Town Code §210-4 to **eliminate** the words “**with patrons seated inside the building**” and just kind of end it right after the word consumption. He thinks that would be more equitable and fair to the people who own businesses and pay taxes, and employ people here in the Town of Cicero.

Councilor Becallo said he was okay with changing it but they would need to be a little more specific in our wording. He agreed with Councilor Venesky one-hundred percent, he said because we have a lot of restaurants that have seating outside, such as ice cream.

Councilor Venesky asked Vern Conway for any discussion on it.

Councilor Conway said we do have a problem with somebody without a health permit; it was a problem that we had before and it was closed up before but it’s back again, and there’s a lot of seats outdoors.

Councilor Venesky asked Robert Germain what the proper procedure was. Did they need to draft something or could they do a resolution right then? And, if everyone was in agreement that we can change that could they do it that night.

Robert Germain said they couldn’t do it that night because we are going to amend the code so it has to be done by local law process with a public hearing. They could call a public hearing for the next meeting right then if they wanted to with an adoption of a resolution to hold a public hearing.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer asked for clarification. Did they have to have the exact wording?

Councilor Becallo said wouldn’t it be inside and outside?

Robert Germain replied no. The problem with the restriction is that you would have to be seated inside the building.

Councilor Venesky said we just want to remove the words “*with patrons seated inside the building.*”

Councilor Cushman added “*for on premise consumption*”.

Resolution to set Public Hearing:

Councilor Venesky moved for the adoption of a resolution to have a Public Hearing at the next Town Board meeting to amend the definition of RESTAURANT in Town Code §210-4 to read: *An establishment primarily engaged in the sale of food and beverages, including alcoholic beverages, for on premise consumption.* The Public Hearing will be held at 6:30 p.m. on the 24th of June, 2015. The intent of this meeting is to amend the definition of RESTAURANT in Town Code §210-4. Motion was seconded by Councilor Conway.

Please note: In the above resolution Councilor Venesky said it was an amendment to Town Code 201. It is actually an amendment to Town Code §210-4 (per Town Attorney the correction was made in the above resolution before the minutes are approved).

Deputy Supervisor Meyer solicited any further questions, of which there was none.

Ayes- 4 and Noes – 0. *Motion carried.*

- **Cicero Rabies Clinic**

Councilor Becallo announced the Cicero Rabies Clinic would be held Monday, June 22nd, 2015 from 5-7 p.m. at the Cicero Highway Garage, 8236 Brewerton Road, Cicero. Pet owners are asked to please bring past immunization records. A \$10.00 donation is appreciated. Anyone with questions can contact the Town Clerk’s Office at 699-8109.

PUBLIC INPUT

Lou Bersani said he was at a meeting last month where he addressed the marina at Lakeshore and South Bay Road with all the violations that are there. Since then, the place has opened up for business selling food. It has tables, illegal gazebos, a new deck, and signage. At last month’s meeting, and one a couple of years ago, he complained about his illegal signs and

some other things that he had. He did some research this week and talked to the code enforcement officer. He was informed that in 2014, at the April meeting, the Town Board instructed a codes enforcement officer not to remove any illegal signs *unless* they are dangerous or a hazard to the public. This place he is speaking of has got an illegal sign that is dangerous, and has been dangerous, and he brought it to the attention of this board over two years ago (he showed a picture of said sign that is held up by motorcycle straps to the board members).

Deputy Supervisor Meyer asked Robert Germain where we are with the item Mr. Bersani was addressing.

Robert Germain said he didn't know off the top of his head. He knows that the board did a resolution at one point on the topic and that the Code department is also working on the issues with this individual, so he didn't want to comment any further.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer said to his understanding Mr. Bersani did contact the Supervisor a few days ago as well and expressed his concerns. His suggestion to Mr. Bersani was to continue to work with the Codes office.

Mr. Bersani said he was talking with the Codes office. He looked up the Code and it's "with the exception of a dangerous sign". He said he was not asking that somebody take it down, he is almost demanding that something be done about it. This issue has gone on for years about these two things, with many, many, other issues that this town is being made a fool out of. Mr. Bersani said the issue has to be addressed. He came up with the code and it's very clear what it says "the sign must be removed because it's dangerous", that's the first step in a long process of things that should be done over there; this building that was going on there was no permits, he had stop work orders and ignored them, and know this dangerous sign thing is a step that the town should take immediately, tomorrow afternoon perhaps, to get the ball rolling on this person who thumbs his nose at this town.

Councilor Venesky said he couldn't tell him how outraged he is, all he can say is that he knows the Supervisor is handling this. We are not going to allow people to break the law at will. We have codes, we have permits that are required for the safety and for the health of the people of this town, and if someone chooses not to follow the rules then we will prosecute them to the fullest extent of the law. He informed Mr. Bersani that the process has been started and when we have something we will let you know.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer solicited any further input from the public.

Sam Anderson said he lives in that general facility, and he wished to support Mr. Venesky's indication of what we are doing here as a town. He has seen much from this gentlemen in the past; he ignores the law, he's disrespectful to his neighbors, he has parked his boats and trailers in people's property claiming that it's his and that's the way it's done in the old country. Sam Anderson said this person doesn't get it, and what's going to happen is if we don't put a ring on this guy's neck and slow him down and make him live the way the rest of us do, that place is going to be a restaurant, he'll have bands they'll be until twelve or one o'clock at night, there will be drunken parties, there will be a mess; that's what he's about, he doesn't care about the rest of us, he cares about himself and he does what he damn well pleases. He said he lives very close to the Borio's place, it's a very respectful restaurant, and at eleven o'clock, per the law that music goes off; they make a good buck there but they share with the community, they give to the community as far as money goes, it's that type of thing; completely different nature vs. this other gentleman.

Sam Anderson just wanted to let the Board know that there were many of them, especially himself, who is very supportive of their endeavors to get a hold of this guy, put a stop to him, do what they can to reverse what he has done illegally, and see that in the future he tows the line; we don't want to see that kind of stuff to occur in any part of Cicero. He said they do a pretty good job of policing things, he could just see what this is going to develop into giving his past performance.

A resident said he was the immediate next-door neighbor of the person they were speaking of, and was in court with him over a boundaries dispute that lasted ten years; it involved another county, which was Constantia. He said at the top of his driveway now there is an abandoned project that has left foundations in the ground for two years. He wanted to know what's being done about the abandoned project with open, standing water and styrofoam degrading into the lot.

Deputy Supervisor Meyer asked the resident to give his information to the Town Clerk on his way out so she could forward his message to the codes people.

Deborah Gardner said I'd like to remind you that at the last meeting you "penny-pinchers" voted to spend \$56,000 to clean out a ditch. She said she recently discovered that tax exemption properties in this town total an excess of \$26 million dollars. She said her husband is a Veteran and they do get the Veterans Exemption and wanted to point out that with every exemption the town allows, whether it is Veteran or otherwise, that loss in tax revenue is spread among other taxpayers, so she guesses that if the tax exemptions that were mentioned in items nine and ten are passed then they just agreed to raise taxes on everybody else.

Someone in the audience said in defense of the proposed exemptions, it may be a little more tax burden on other people but these people we are talking about have already given; they have given more in some cases than we will ever know.

ADJOURN

Deputy Supervisor Meyer moved the adoption of a resolution to adjourn the Town Board meeting.

Motion was seconded by Councilor Becallo.

Ayes - 4 and Noes- 0. *Motion carried.*

There being no further business before the Board the meeting was adjourned at 7:49 p.m.

Tracy M. Cosilmon
Town Clerk